The Fragile art of communication: Narrative, Emotion, and the Courage to Hear

Alastair Finco

July 2025

It seems communication is one of the most difficult skills to truly harness in an increasingly noisy and polarised world. We often see celebrated leaders struggle to genuinely hear alternative perspectives, clinging to fixed beliefs and reluctant to engage in open, constructive dialogue. The rise of social media and opinion-saturated platforms has, in many ways, reinforced this tendency, to defend being "correct" rather than seeking growth. And with this adherence to personal 'truth', comes a risk: the stagnation and polarisation of thought.

Yet many of humanity's most transformative moments have not emerged from stubborn conviction, but from the willingness to collaborate, to listen, to reflect and revise. Brilliant thinkers have not shaped the future by clinging to one idea, but by learning from each other, by refining, questioning, and weaving new insights into their own creative and intellectual frameworks. Students across the world grow not only by learning to assert their voice, but by absorbing others' ideas, through reading, listening, wondering. If the benefits of shared thinking are clear, why does this fertile process seem to stall?

Perhaps the core challenge is that we mistake perspective for truth, and in doing so, we entrench rather than expand.

Constructing Reality

Human narrative is deeply personal. It is not necessarily objective, but shaped by experience, by upbringing, conditioning, education, and cultural messaging. What we believe is often what we have lived, and that lived narrative becomes the lens through which we interpret our world, our place in the world, our thoughts, emotions, relationships and definitions of truths.

One potential aspect of maintaining our perspective rigidly, may stem from our deeply ingrained need to affirm who we are and how we have acted in the present and in the past. To revise a narrative is to rewrite our identity. This a very vulnerable space - and can challenge our very being. Fears, insecurities and the need for self-validation can all suppress the ability to hear and integrate alternative narratives. The desire to be "right" becomes an emotional safeguard, even as it can block our capacity to grow. It could be argued that it almost becomes a natural response, ingrained in our very core.

Lisa Feldman Barrett's work on emotion highlights this connection between narratives and bodily responses. She proposes that emotions are not universal triggers but constructed experiences, narratives and interpretations of our physical experiences built by the brain using past context, cultural meaning, and interoceptive data. Our hearts race, our muscles tighten, our breath shortens, these are all natural physical reactions to situations, but how we interpret those sensations is shaped by our conditioning. Emotion, then, is not just reactive, it is predictive. It is informed by the narrative we maintain.

This suggests our narrative is more than belief, it is our core architecture informing our response to situations and experiences. And within that architecture, the stories we have chosen to believe determine how we perceive, feel, respond.

Implications for Communication and Education

This narrative-based architecture carries enormous implications for communication, particularly the potential limitations it imposes on hearing alternative perspectives. If we assume every person holds conviction in their beliefs, then we must accept the risk: that conviction can create deafness. And while conviction anchors us, growth demands movement, between certainty and curiosity, between assertion and reflection.

To truly engage with other perspectives requires courage. Courage not to abandon our beliefs, but to *question* them. To concede our cognitive ground, not to fall, but to allow the potential for expansion. This is a deeply vulnerable act, but it is also where transformation lives.

In education, this challenge is particularly potent. When teaching, we must hold dual awareness: of our own interpretive lens, and of the perspective and lens of our students. If we present ideas in rigid formats, and those formats do not resonate, we risk breaking relational trust and stalling learning. Students often shut down not out of defiance, but because their internal narrative does not yet know how to receive the new information.

Meeting them here, at the edge of that narrative gap, is not easy, but it is essential. Even in a crowded classroom with varied needs, trying to understand where and why a student is blocked can reshape how we speak, how we teach, how we connect.

Generosity as Practice

So perhaps the call is not to be “correct,” but to be generous. To accept that everyone carries a truth born of their experience, not the whole truth, perhaps, but a truth that is valid within their world. And maybe, if we allow space for the dual perspectives and realities that emerge in communication, we can hear each other more clearly. Maybe our own truths will land more fully, not through insistence - but through invitation.

Let the goal of communication and education be not assertion, but co-evolution. A place where ideas and identities intermingle, where listening is courageous, and where belief becomes not a fortress, but a space of potential growth.

Alastair Finco is an Assistant Professor (Musicology) at the *Australian Guild of Education* (Melbourne)