

Beyond the Disclosure: Why Credible ESG Reporting Matters

Dimitri Kopanakis
October 2026



Environmental, social and governance (ESG) reporting has moved from the margins of responsible investment to the centre of corporate strategy. Once primarily a means of assessing ethical investment opportunities, ESG now provides a framework through which organisations communicate how they manage environmental impacts, social responsibilities and governance practices. Its significance lies not in what companies promise, but in whether they can demonstrate meaningful, measurable progress.

The Rise of Stakeholder Expectations

The growing prominence of ESG reflects a fundamental shift in expectations about corporate responsibility. Investors increasingly consider sustainability-related risks alongside financial performance, whilst employees, consumers, communities and regulators seek greater transparency about organisational conduct.

Further, ESG reporting is no longer simply a reputational exercise. It is becoming an important mechanism for assessing how organisations identify risks, allocate resources and create sustainable value. Effective disclosures help stakeholders distinguish between ambitious statements and credible organisational performance.

Greenwashing and Greenhushing: Two Threats to Trust

Despite these developments, ESG reporting faces a persistent credibility challenge. Greenwashing occurs when an organisation exaggerates, selectively presents or misrepresents its sustainability credentials, creating an impression of progress that its actions cannot substantiate. Such practices erode confidence and risk reducing ESG to a marketing narrative rather than a meaningful accountability framework.

Less visible, but similarly problematic, is greenhushing: the deliberate withholding or minimisation of legitimate sustainability achievements. Organisations may remain silent because they fear criticism, regulatory attention or accusations of inconsistency. Yet excessive silence can obscure useful information, weaken stakeholder understanding and discourage the sharing of effective practices.

Although motivated by different concerns, both behaviours undermine the same essential principle: stakeholders require an accurate and balanced account of performance, including achievements, limitations and unresolved challenges.

Reporting Standards and the Responsibility of Boards

The development of structured reporting standards has strengthened expectations for consistency and comparability. Frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards and the International Sustainability Standards Board's (ISSB) standards offer different but complementary approaches to sustainability disclosure, helping organisations communicate information relevant to their stakeholders and investors.

Importantly, frameworks alone cannot guarantee integrity. Boards and senior executives must ensure that ESG commitments are supported by appropriate oversight, reliable data, clear responsibilities and credible internal controls. Disclosures should reflect organisational reality rather than selectively chosen indicators that present the most favourable picture.

Further, governance requires the confidence to report shortcomings as well as successes. Transparent acknowledgement of performance gaps can demonstrate institutional maturity and provide a foundation for improvement.

The future of ESG will depend less on the volume of information organisations publish than on its accuracy, relevance and usefulness. Meaningful reporting must connect strategic commitments with verifiable outcomes and explain where further progress is required.

Ultimately, ESG is most valuable when treated not as a compliance checklist or communications campaign, but as an enduring responsibility of corporate leadership. By resisting both greenwashing and greenhushing, organisations can strengthen accountability, build stakeholder confidence and translate sustainability ambitions into lasting change.

Dr Dimitri Kopanakis is a Fellow of the *Governance Institute of Australia* and a Fellow of the *Institute of Managers and Leaders*.